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Inside India’s fake research paper shops: pay, publish, profit

Despite UGC blacklist, hundreds of ‘predatory journals’ thrive, cast shadow on quality of faculty and research nationwide.
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Many Academics Are Eager to Publish in Worthless Journals
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Call it a classic case of supply meeting demand.
Strategies for publishing in English journals: A study of the perceptions of Iranian scholars
Mahmood Maniati,1,∗ and Alireza Jaliliifar2

Abstract
One of the difficulties in publishing in English is the complex process of writing the content and the language, which can be difficult when it comes to understanding the role of English and the various stakeholders involved in the process. The research investigated this process by selecting and analysing the perceptions of English as a foreign language (EFL) learners. The results showed that learners believe that the process of publishing in English allows them to develop their skills and improve their knowledge. The study also highlighted the need for more support and resources to help learners improve their English language skills.

Where Arab social science and humanities scholars choose to publish: Falling in the predatory journals trap
Ahmed Maher Khafaga Shehata,1,∗ and Mohammed Fathy Mahmoud Elgillab1,2,∗

Abstract
The purpose of this study was to investigate the reasons why Arab social science and humanities scholars choose to publish in predatory journals. The study adopted a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data collected from a sample of Arab scholars. The study found that, despite the availability of reputable journals, many Arab scholars choose to publish in predatory journals due to lack of time, resources, and support. The study also highlighted the need for more awareness-raising initiatives and support for researchers to choose reputable journals.
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Alternative journal impact factors in open access publishing

Jingfeng Xia,1,✉ and Megan P. Smith2

Abstract

Very little research has been done to develop critical evaluation criteria for open access journals. The few existing journal impact factors do not take into account the nature of open access publishing. This study will help identify the impact factors of open access journals.

Contemporary drug advertising in leading US medical journals

James R. Scott,1,✉ Mark Gibson,2 and Rebecca S. Benner3

Abstract

Medical journal editors have promoted transparency and elimination of conflict of interest in published research, but whether the same standards are applied to journal medicinal advertisements is unknown. This study evaluated claims of efficacy, reporting of adverse events, access to (and quality of) supporting references, conflict of interest, and drug pricing in print advertisements for prescription drugs in three leading US medical journals.
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Introduction

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) publishes around 150 books a year on economics, science and technology, and other areas. It is one of the largest and most visible publishers in its field. In the past, its books have been available in print only, but now they are also available online. This has raised the question of how to ensure that the quality of the books remains high and that they are accessible to as many people as possible.

OECD e-books are produced to the same high standards as our print books, and they are available in a variety of formats, including PDF and EPUB. This means that readers can access the content on their computers, tablets, or smartphones, and that they can search and navigate the text using standard web browsing tools.

OECD also offers a pay-per-view service, allowing users to access individual chapters or entire books for a fee. This is especially useful for librarians and researchers who need to access specific information quickly.

Conclusion

OECD e-books and e-library services offer a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and other professionals who need to access high-quality, up-to-date information on economics, science, and technology. With their pay-per-view and pay-per-view options, OECD e-books provide a flexible and efficient way to find the information you need.
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Librarians’ use of usage statistics for journals and e-books

The production of usage statistics has become an important advance for the activities of publishers. These data inform both the publisher and librarian about the use of their online information content. To provide uniform and comparable usage statistics, Project COUNTER was launched in 2000 by the Association of Scientific, Technical and Medical (STM) Publishers and the Electronic Library and Information Services Task Force (ELIST) of CILIP. The aim of COUNTER is to provide a framework for the consistent collection and reporting of online usage. Compliance with COUNTER standards is an important part of the process of providing quality customer service to libraries, not only for publishers, but also for those librarians who are part of the information exchange.

Although the majority of library users support the use of COUNTER-compliant usage statistics, there are some who express concern about the accuracy of these statistics and the potential for them to influence library purchasing decisions. The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of the benefits and challenges associated with COUNTER-compliant usage statistics, and to discuss the role of libraries in determining the future of these statistics.

Introduction

Librarians are increasingly concerned about the use of usage statistics to inform their purchasing decisions. The use of COUNTER-compliant usage statistics can help libraries to make informed decisions about which journals and e-books to purchase. The use of these statistics can also help publishers to better understand the needs of their customers and to make informed decisions about their publishing strategies.

Future directions

The use of COUNTER-compliant usage statistics can help libraries to make informed decisions about their purchasing strategies. The use of these statistics can also help publishers to better understand the needs of their customers and to make informed decisions about their publishing strategies.
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